ATTACHMENT B4

TRU MIXED WASTE CHARACTERIZATION USING ACCEPTABLE KNOWLEDGE

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit February 27, 2007 (This page intentionally blank)

ATTACHMENT B4

TRU MIXED WASTE CHARACTERIZATION USING ACCEPTABLE KNOWLEDGE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures	<u>34-ii</u>
B4-1 Introduction	<u>34-1</u>
B4-2 Acceptable Knowledge Documentation	
B4-2b Required TRU Mixed Waste Stream Information	34-3
B4-2c Supporting Acceptable Knowledge Information	<u>34-5</u>
B4-3 Acceptable Knowledge Training, Procedures and Other Requirements B	
B4-3a Qualifications and Training Requirements	
B4-3b Acceptable Knowledge Assembly and Compilation	<u> 34-7</u>
B4-3c Criteria for Assembling an Acceptable Knowledge Record and Delineating the	
Waste Stream	34-9
B4-3d AK Sufficiency Determination Request Contents	I-10
B4-3e Requirements for Re-evaluating Acceptable Knowledge Information B4	<u> 1-11</u>
B4-3f Acceptable Knowledge Data Quality Requirements	1-13
B4-3g Audits of Acceptable Knowledge	1-14

List of Figures

Figure	Title				
B4-1	Compilation of Acceptable Knowledge Documentation				
B4-2	Acceptable Knowledge Auditing				

ATTACHMENT B4 TRU MIXED WASTE CHARACTERIZATION USING **ACCEPTABLE KNOWLEDGE**

B4-1 Introduction

1

24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

- The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulations codified in 40 CFR Parts 2
- 260 through 265, 268, and 270, and the New Mexico Hazardous Waste Management 3
- Regulations in Title 20 New Mexico Administrative Code, Chapter 4, Part 1, (20.4.1 NMAC) 4
- Subparts I through VI, Subpart VIII, and Subpart IX, authorize the use of acceptable knowledge 5
- (AK) in appropriate circumstances by waste generators, or treatment, storage, or disposal 6
- facilities to characterize hazardous waste. Acceptable knowledge is described in Waste 7
- Analysis: EPA Guidance Manual for Facilities That Generate, Treat, Store and Dispose of 8
- Hazardous Waste (EPA, 1994). Acceptable knowledge, as an alternative to sampling and 9
- analysis, can be used to meet all or part of the waste characterization requirements under the 10
- RCRA (EPA, 1994). 11
- EPA's 1994 Waste Analysis Guidance Manual broadly defines the term "acceptable knowledge" 12
- to include process knowledge, whereby detailed information on the wastes is obtained from 13
- existing published or documented waste analysis data or studies conducted on hazardous 14
- waste generated by processes similar to that which generated the waste; facility records of 15
- analysis performed before the effective date of RCRA; and waste analysis data obtained from 16
- generators of similar wastes that send their wastes off-site for treatment, storage, or disposal 17
- (EPA, 1994). If a generator/storage site determines that AK alone is insufficient to accurately 18
- characterize a waste, the site may use radiography and/or visual examination, headspace gas 19
- sampling and analysis, and homogeneous waste sampling and analysis (specified in Permit 20
- Attachment B1) to complete the waste characterization process and satisfy the requirements of 21
- the Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) specified in Permit Attachment B. Acceptable knowledge is 22
- used in TRU mixed waste characterization activities in five ways: 23
 - To delineate TRU mixed waste streams
- To assess whether TRU mixed wastes comply with the applicable requirements of the Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility Waste Acceptance Criteria 26 (TSDF-WAC)
 - To assess whether TRU mixed wastes exhibit a hazardous characteristic (20.4.1.200 NMAC, incorporating 40 CFR §261 Subpart C)
 - To assess whether TRU mixed wastes are listed (20.4.1.200 NMAC, incorporating 40 CFR §261 Subpart D)
 - To estimate waste material parameter weights
- Sampling and analysis may be performed to augment the characterization of wastes based on 33
- acceptable knowledge when an AK Sufficiency Determination has not been requested by the 34
- generator/storage site or, if requested, has not been granted by the Permittees (see Section B4-35

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit February 27, 2007

- 3d). Sampling and analysis consists of radiography, visual examination, headspace gas, and
- 2 homogeneous waste sampling and analysis. TRU mixed waste streams shall undergo
- applicable provisions of the acceptable knowledge process prior to management, storage, or
- disposal by the Permittees at WIPP.

B4-2 <u>Acceptable Knowledge Documentation</u>

- The Permittees shall obtain from each Department of Energy (**DOE**) TRU mixed waste
- 7 generator/storage site (site) a logical sequence of acceptable knowledge information that
- progresses from general facility information (TRU Mixed Waste Management Program
- Information) to more detailed waste-specific information (TRU Mixed Waste Stream
- Information). Traceability of acceptable knowledge information for a selected container in the
- audited Waste Summary Category Group(s) will be examined during the Permittees' audit of a
- site (Section B4-3g). The consistent presentation of acceptable knowledge documentation
- among sites in auditable records¹ will allow the Permittees to verify the completeness and
- adequacy of acceptable knowledge for TRU mixed waste characterization during the audit
- process. The Permittees shall implement the acceptable knowledge process as specified in this
- Permit to characterize TRU mixed wastes and obtain sufficient waste characterization data to
- demonstrate compliance with the Permit. The New Mexico Environment Department (**NMED**)
- may independently validate the implementation of and compliance with applicable provisions of
- the WAP at each generator/storage site by participation in the Permittees' Audit and
- Surveillance Program (Permit Attachment B6). The Permittees shall provide NMED with current
- audit schedules and notify NMED in writing no later than thirty (30) calendar days prior to each
- audit. NMED may choose to accompany the Permittees on any audit of the WAP
- 23 implementation.

5

31

33

- The following sections include the information the Permittees will require for each site to
- characterize TRU mixed waste using acceptable knowledge. Because waste generating
- processes are site-specific, sites shall, as necessary, augment the required acceptable
- knowledge records with additional supporting information (see Section B4-2c, Supporting
- Acceptable Knowledge Information). If the required information is not available for a particular
- waste stream, the waste stream will not be eligible for an AK Sufficiency Determination as
- specified in Section B4-3d.

B4-2a Required TRU Mixed Waste Management Program Information

TRU mixed waste management program information shall clearly define waste categorization

- schemes and terminology, provide a breakdown of the types and quantities of TRU mixed waste
- that are generated and stored at the site, and describe how waste is tracked and managed at
- the site, including historical and current operations. Information related to TRU mixed waste
- certification procedures and the types of documentation (e.g., waste profile forms) used to
- summarize acceptable knowledge shall also be provided. The following information shall be
- included as part of the acceptable knowledge written record:

¹ "Auditable records" mean those records which allow the Permittees to conduct a systematic assessment, analysis, and evaluation of the Permittees compliance with the WAP and this Permit.

Map of the site with the areas and facilities involved in TRU mixed waste 1 generation, treatment, and storage identified Facility mission description as related to TRU mixed waste generation and 3 management (e.g., nuclear weapons research may involve metallurgy, radiochemistry, and nuclear physics operations that result in specific waste 5 streams) Description of the operations that generate TRU mixed waste at the site (e.g., 7 plutonium recovery, weapons design, or weapons fabrication) 8 Waste identification or categorization schemes used at the facility (e.g., item 9 description codes, content codes) 10 Types and quantities of TRU mixed waste generated, including historical 11 generation through future projections Correlation of waste streams generated from the same building and process, as 13 appropriate (e.g., sludge, combustibles, metals, and glass) 14 Waste certification procedures for retrievably stored and newly generated wastes 15 to be sent to the WIPP facility 16 B4-2b Required TRU Mixed Waste Stream Information 17 The Permittees may use acceptable knowledge to delineate site-specific waste streams. For 18 each TRU mixed waste stream, the Permittees shall require sites to compile all process 19 information and data that support the acceptable knowledge used to characterize that waste 20 stream. The type and quantity of supporting documentation will vary by waste stream, 21 depending on the process generating the waste and site-specific requirements imposed by the 22 Permittees. At a minimum, the waste process information shall include the following written 23 information: 24 Area(s) and/or building(s) from which the waste stream was or is generated 25 26 Waste stream volume and time period of generation (e.g., 100 standard waste 27 boxes of retrievable stored waste generated from June 1977 through December 28 1977) Waste generating process described for each building (e.g., batch waste stream 30 generated during decommissioning operations of glove boxes), including 31 processes associated with U134 waste generation, if applicable. 32 Process flow diagrams (e.g., a diagram illustrating glove boxes from a specific 33 building to a size reduction facility to a container storage area). In the case of 34 research/development, analytical laboratory waste, or other similar processes 35 where process flow diagrams cannot be created, a description of the waste 36

37

generating processes, rather than a formal process flow diagram, may be

35

36

streams

included if this modification is justified and the justification is placed in the 1 auditable record 2 Material inputs or other information that identifies the chemical content of the 3 waste stream and the physical waste form (e.g., glove box materials and 4 chemicals handled during glove box operations; events or processes that may 5 have modified the chemical or physical properties of the waste stream after 6 generation; data obtained through visual examination of newly generated waste 7 that later undergoes radiography; information demonstrating neutralization of 8 U134 [hydrofluoric acid] and waste compatibility) 9 The acceptable knowledge written record shall include a summary that identifies all sources of 10 waste characterization information used to delineate the waste stream. The basis and rationale 11 for delineating each waste stream, based on the parameters of interest, shall be clearly 12 summarized and traceable to referenced documents. Assumptions made in delineating each 13 waste stream also shall be identified and justified. If discrepancies exist between required 14 information, then sites shall apply all hazardous waste numbers indicated by the information to 15 the subject waste stream unless the sites choose to justify an alternative assignment and 16 document the justification in the auditable record. The Permittees shall obtain from each site, at 17 a minimum, procedures that comply with the following acceptable knowledge requirements: 18 Procedures for identifying and assigning the physical waste form of the waste 19 Procedures for delineating waste streams and assigning Waste Matrix Codes 20 Procedures for resolving inconsistencies in acceptable knowledge documentation 21 Procedures for headspace gas sampling and analysis, visual examination and/or 22 radiography, and homogeneous waste sampling and analysis, if applicable 23 For newly generated waste, procedures describing process controls used to 24 ensure prohibited items (specified in the WAP, Permit Attachment B) are 25 documented and managed 26 Procedures to ensure radiography and visual examination include a list of 27 prohibited items that the operator shall verify are not present in each container of 28 waste (e.g., liquids exceeding TSDF-WAC limits, corrosives, ignitables, reactives, 29 and incompatible wastes) 30 Procedures to document how changes to Waste Matrix Codes, waste stream 31 assignment, and associated Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) hazardous 32 waste numbers based on material composition are documented for any waste 33

Procedures for estimating waste material parameter weights

Procedures for assigning EPA hazardous waste numbers to TRU mixed waste

B4-2c Supporting Acceptable Knowledge Information

1

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

- 2 The generator/storage sites shall obtain supporting acceptable knowledge information. The
- amount and type of supporting information is site-specific and cannot be mandated, but sites
- 4 shall collect information as appropriate to augment required information. Adequacy of
- supporting information shall be assessed by the Permittees during audits (Section B4-3g). Sites
- 6 will use this information to compile the acceptable knowledge written record. Supporting
- 7 acceptable knowledge documentation that may be used (if available) in addition to the required
- information specified above include, but are not limited to, the following information:
 - Process design documents (e.g., Title II Design)
 - Standard operating procedures that may include a list of raw materials or reagents, a description of the process or experiment generating the waste, and a description of wastes generated and how the wastes are managed at the point of generation
 - Preliminary and final safety analysis reports and technical safety requirements
 - Waste packaging logs
 - Test plans or research project reports that describe reagents and other raw materials used in experiments
 - Site databases (e.g., chemical inventory database for Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act Title III requirements)
 - Information from site personnel (e.g., documented interviews)
 - Standard industry documents (e.g., vendor information)
 - Analytical data relevant to the waste stream, including results from fingerprint analyses, spot checks, or routine verification sampling. This may also include new information which augments required information (e.g., visual examination not performed in compliance with the WAP)
 - Material Safety Data Sheets, product labels, or other product package information
 - Sampling and analysis data from comparable or surrogate waste streams (e.g., equivalent nonradioactive materials)
 - Laboratory notebooks that detail the research processes and raw materials used in an experiment

For waste containers that belong to LANL sealed sources waste streams, these containers do not require headspace gas sampling and analysis if the following information is part of the AK documentation:

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- Documentation that the waste container contents meet the definition of sealed sources per 10 CFR §30.4 and 10 CFR §835.2 (effective January 1, 2004).
 - Documentation of the certification of the sealed sources as U.S. Department of Transportation Special Form Class 7 (Radioactive) Material per 49 CFR §173.403 (effective October 1, 2003).
 - Documentation of contamination survey results that validate the integrity of each sealed source per 10 CFR §34.27 (effective January 1, 2004).
 - AK documentation does not indicate the use of VOCs or VOC-bearing materials as constituents of the sealed sources.
 - The outer casing of each sealed source must be of a non-VOC bearing material, which must be verified at the time of packaging.
 - AK Documentation shall also include but shall not be limited to, as available and as necessary to determine the hazardous constituents associated with sealed sources, the following: source manufacturer's sales catalogues, original purchase records, source manufacturer's fabrication documents, source manufacturer's drawings, source manufacturer's fuel capture assembly reports, source manufacturer's operational procedures for cleanliness requirements, source manufacturer's shipping documents, source manufacturer's welding records, transuranic batch material records, and information from national databases (e.g., NMMSS). All of this information may not and need not be available for each source, but sufficient information must be included in the auditable record to derive an adequate understanding of source construction and history to ensure that no VOCs are present in association with the sealed source itself that would render the source hazardous. If AK data indicate that assignment of a hazardous waste number related to organic materials is required in association with a source, this specific source will be assigned to a separate waste stream and that waste stream will be subject to representative headspace gas sampling unless a separate AK Sufficiency Determination is approved by the Permittees for the waste stream.

All specific, relevant supporting acceptable knowledge documentation assembled and used in the acceptable knowledge process, whether it supports or contradicts any required acceptable knowledge documentation, shall be identified and an explanation provided for its use (e.g., identification of a toxicity characteristic). Supporting documentation may be used to further document the rationale for the hazardous characterization results. The collection and use of supporting information shall be assessed by the Permittees during site audits to ensure that hazardous waste characterization is supported, as necessary, by supporting information. Similar to required information, if discrepancies exist between supporting information and the required information, then sites shall apply all hazardous waste numbers indicated by the supporting information to the subject waste stream unless the sites choose to justify an alternative assignment and document the justification in the auditable record.

B4-3 Acceptable Knowledge Training, Procedures and Other Requirements

- 2 The Permittees shall require consistency among sites in using acceptable knowledge
- information to characterize TRU mixed waste by the use of the following: 1) compiling the
- required and supporting acceptable knowledge documentation in an auditable record, 2)
- auditing acceptable knowledge records, and 3) WSPF approval and waste confirmation. This
- section specifies qualification and training requirements, describes each phase of the process,
- 7 specifies the procedures that the Permittees shall require all sites to develop to implement the
- requirements for using acceptable knowledge, and specifies data quality requirements for
- 9 acceptable knowledge.

1

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

B4-3a Qualifications and Training Requirements

- Site personnel responsible for compiling acceptable knowledge, assessing acceptable
- knowledge, and resolving discrepancies associated with acceptable knowledge shall be
- gualified and trained in the following areas at a minimum:
 - WIPP WAP in Permit Attachment B and the TSDF-WAC specified in this permit
 - State and Federal RCRA regulations associated with solid and hazardous waste characterization
 - Discrepancy resolution and reporting processes
 - Site-specific procedures associated with waste characterization using acceptable knowledge

B4-3b Acceptable Knowledge Assembly and Compilation

The Permittees shall obtain from sites acceptable knowledge procedures which require consistent application of the acceptable knowledge process and requirements. Site-specific acceptable knowledge procedures shall address the following:

- Sites shall prepare and implement a written procedure outlining the specific methodology used to assemble acceptable knowledge records, including the origin of the documentation, how it will be used, and any limitations associated with the information (e.g., identify the purpose and scope of a study that included limited sampling and analysis data).
- Sites shall develop and implement a written procedure to compile the required acceptable knowledge record.
- Sites shall develop and implement a written procedure that ensures unacceptable wastes (e.g., reactive, ignitable, corrosive) are identified and segregated from TRU mixed waste populations sent to WIPP.
- Sites shall prepare and implement a written procedure to evaluate acceptable knowledge and resolve discrepancies. If different sources of information indicate

different hazardous wastes are present, then sites shall include all sources of information in its records and conservatively assign all potential hazardous waste numbers unless the sites choose to justify an alternative assignment and document the justification in the auditable record. The assignment of hazardous waste numbers shall be tracked in the auditable record to all required documentation.

- Sites shall prepare and implement a written procedure to identify hazardous wastes and assign the appropriate hazardous waste numbers to each waste stream. The following are minimum baseline requirements/standards that sitespecific procedures shall include to ensure comparable and consistent characterization of hazardous waste:
 - Compile all of the required information in an auditable record.
 - Review the compiled information and delineate TRU mixed waste streams. Delineation of waste streams must comply with the following definition: a waste stream is defined as waste material generated from a single process or from an activity that is similar in material, physical form, and hazardous constituents.
 - Review the compiled information to determine if the waste stream is compliant with the TSDF-WAC.
 - Review the required information to determine if the waste is listed under 20.4.1.200 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §261), Subpart D. Assign all listed hazardous waste numbers unless the sites choose to justify an alternative assignment and document the justification in the auditable record.
 - Review the required information to determine if the waste exhibits a hazardous characteristic or may contain hazardous constituents included in the toxicity characteristics specified in 20.4.1.200 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §261), Subpart C. If a toxicity characteristic contaminant is identified and is not included as a listed waste, assign the toxicity characteristic number unless data are available that demonstrate that the concentration of the constituent in the waste is less than the toxicity characteristic regulatory level. When data are not available, the toxicity characteristic hazardous waste number for the identified hazardous constituent shall be applied to the mixed waste stream.
 - Review the compiled information to provide an estimate of material parameter weights for each container to be stored or disposed of at WIPP.

For newly generated wastes, procedures shall be developed and implemented to characterize hazardous waste using acceptable knowledge prior to packaging the waste.

 Sites shall ensure that results of audits of the TRU mixed waste characterization programs at the site are available in the records.

- Sites shall identify all process controls (implemented to ensure that the waste contains no prohibited items and to control hazardous waste content and/or physical form) that may have been applied to retrievably stored waste and/or may presently be applied to newly generated waste. Process controls are applied at the time of waste generation/packaging to control waste content, whereas any activities performed after waste generation/packaging to identify prohibited items, hazardous waste content, or physical form are waste characterization activities, not process controls. The AK record must contain specific process controls and supporting documentation identifying when these process controls are used to control waste content. See Permit Attachment B, Section B-2 for programmatic requirements related to process controls.
- B4-3c <u>Criteria for Assembling an Acceptable Knowledge Record and Delineating the Waste</u> Stream

Figure B4-1 provides an overview of the process for assembling acceptable knowledge documentation into an auditable record. The first step is to assemble all of the required acceptable knowledge information and any supporting information regarding the materials and processes that generate a specific waste stream. The Permittees shall require the sites to implement procedures which comply with the following criteria to establish acceptable knowledge records:

- Acceptable knowledge information shall be compiled in an auditable record, including a road map for all applicable information.
- The overview of the facility and TRU mixed waste management operations in the context of the facility's mission shall be correlated to specific waste stream information.
- Correlations between waste streams, with regard to time of generation, waste generating processes, and site-specific facilities shall be clearly described. For newly generated wastes, the rate and quantity of waste to be generated shall be defined.
- A reference list shall be provided that identifies documents, databases, Quality Assurance protocols, and other sources of information that support the acceptable knowledge information.

Container inventories for TRU mixed waste currently in retrievable storage shall be delineated into waste streams by correlating the container identification to all of the required acceptable knowledge information and any supporting acceptable knowledge information.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

B4-3d AK Sufficiency Determination Request Contents

- Generator/storage sites may submit an AK Sufficiency Determination Request (**Determination**
- Request) to meet all or part of the waste characterization requirements. The Determination
- 4 Request shall include, at a minimum:
 - Identification of the scenario for which the approval is sought (Permit Attachment B, Section B-0b).
 - A complete AK Summary that addresses the following technical requirements:
 - Executive Summary:
 - Waste Stream Identification Summary, including a demonstration that the waste stream has been properly delineated and meets the Permit definition of waste stream (Permit Attachment B, Introduction);
 - <u>Mandatory Program Information</u> (including, but not limited to, facility location and description, mission, defense waste assessment, spent nuclear fuel and high-level waste assessment, description of waste generating processes, research/development [as necessary], facility support operations [as applicable], types and quantities of TRU waste generated, correlation of waste streams to buildings/processes, waste identification and categorization, physical form identifiers);
 - Mandatory Waste Stream Information (including, but not limited to, Area and Building of Generation, waste stream volume/period of generation (including, for newly generated waste, the rate and quantity of waste to be generated), waste generating activities, types of waste generated, material input related to physical form and identification of percentage of each waste material parameter in the waste stream, chemical content information including hazardous constituents and hazardous waste identification, prohibited item content (including documented evidence that the waste meets the TSDF-WAC Permit Conditions II.C.3.a-h), waste packaging, presence of filter vents, number of layers of confinement);
 - Types of supporting information gathered;
 - Container specific data (if available and relevant); and
 - A complete reference list including all mandatory and supporting information.
 - An AK roadmap (defined as a cross reference between mandatory programmatic and mandatory waste stream information, with references supporting these requirements).
 - A complete reference list including all mandatory and supporting documentation.
 - Relevant supporting information for the required programmatic and waste stream data addressed in the AK Summary, examples of which are presented in Permit Attachment B4, Section B4-2c.
 - Identification of any mandatory requirements supported only by upper tier documents (i.e., there is insufficient supporting data).
 - Description or other means of demonstrating that the AK process described in the Permit was followed (for example, AK personnel were appropriately trained; discrepancies were documented, etc).

- Information showing that the generator/storage site has developed a written procedure for compiling the AK information and assigning hazardous waste numbers as required in Permit Attachment B4-3b.
 Information showing that the generator/storage site has assessed the AK process (e.g. internal audits, Permit Attachment B4-3b).
- The Permittees shall evaluate the Determination Request for completeness and technical adequacy as specified in Permit Attachment B.
 - B4-3e Requirements for Re-evaluating Acceptable Knowledge Information

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- Acceptable knowledge includes information regarding the physical form of the waste, the base materials composing the waste, and the process that generates the waste. Waste sampling and analysis (i.e., radiography or visual examination, headspace-gas sampling and analysis, and homogeneous waste sampling and analysis) may be used to augment acceptable knowledge information.
 - The Waste Stream Profile Form (**WSPF**) and Characterization Information Summary (including the acceptable knowledge summary) will be reviewed for each waste stream prior to Permittee approval of the WSPF. The Permittees review will ensure that the submitted AK information was collected under procedures that ensure implementation of the WAP, provides data sufficient to meet the DQOs in Section B-4a(1), and allow the Permittees to demonstrate compliance with the waste analysis requirements of the Permit. A detailed discussion of the Permittees' waste stream review and approval process is provided in Section B -1d.
 - The Permittees shall require sites to establish procedures for reevaluating acceptable knowledge if the results of waste confirmation indicate that the waste to be shipped does not match the approved waste stream, or if data obtained from radiography or visual examination for waste streams without an AK Sufficiency Determination exhibit this discrepancy. Site procedures shall describe how the waste is reassigned, acceptable knowledge reevaluated, and appropriate hazardous waste numbers assigned. If the reevaluation requires that the Waste Matrix Code be changed for the waste stream or the waste does not match the approved waste stream, the following minimum steps shall be taken to reevaluate acceptable knowledge:
 - Review existing information based on the container identification number and document all differences in hazardous waste number assignments
 - If differences exist in the hazardous waste numbers that were assigned, reassess and document all required acceptable knowledge information (Section B4-3b) associated with the new designation
 - Reassess and document all sampling and analytical data associated with the waste
 - Verify and document that the reassigned Waste Matrix Code was generated within the specified time period, area and buildings, waste generating process, and that the process material inputs are consistent with the waste material parameters identified during radiography or visual examination

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

- Record all changes to acceptable knowledge records
- If discrepancies exist in the acceptable knowledge information for the revised Waste Matrix Code, document the segregation of the affected portion of the waste stream, and define the actions necessary to fully characterize the waste

Potential toxicity characteristics for base materials that compose TRU mixed heterogeneous debris (S5000) waste may be determined without destructive sampling and analysis via acceptable knowledge. Sites will assign a Waste Matrix Code and waste stream to each container of waste using acceptable knowledge. In lieu of sampling and analytical or other data to the contrary (including headspace gas and total/TCLP analysis of solids/soils), sites shall assign the toxicity characteristic hazardous waste numbers based on the presence of the constituent identified by acceptable knowledge, regardless of the quantity or concentration. Procedures shall describe how additions to hazardous waste numbers based on material composition are documented, as necessary (Section B4-3b).

The Permittees shall require sites to use acceptable knowledge to identify spent solvents associated with each TRU mixed waste stream or waste stream lot. Headspace-gas data will be used to resolve the assignment of EPA F-listed hazardous waste numbers to debris waste streams when waste streams do not have an AK Sufficiency Determination approved by the Permittees. In this case, sites shall assign F-listed hazardous waste numbers (20.4.1.200 NMAC, incorporating 40 CFR §261.31) by evaluating the average concentrations of each VOC detected in container headspace gas for each waste stream or waste stream lot using the upper 90 percent confidence limit (UCL_{90}). The UCL_{90} for the mean concentration shall be compared to the program required quantitation limit (PRQL) for the constituent. If the UCL_{on} for the mean concentration exceeds the PRQL, sites shall reevaluate their acceptable knowledge information and determine the potential source of the constituent. Sites shall provide documentation to support any determination that F-listed organic constituents are associated with packaging materials, radiolysis, or other uses not consistent with solvent use. If the source of the detected F-listed solvents can not be identified, the appropriate spent solvent hazardous waste number will be conservatively applied to the waste stream. In the case of applicable toxicity characteristic VOCs and non-toxic F003 constituents, generator/storage sites may assess whether the head space gas concentration would render the waste non-hazardous for those characteristics and change the initial acceptable knowledge determination accordingly.

EPA hazardous waste numbers associated with S3000 and S4000 waste streams will be assigned based on the results of the total/TCLP analysis of a representative homogeneous waste sample when waste streams do not have an AK Sufficiency Determination approved by the Permittees. As with headspace gas, if the total/TCLP results indicate that the concentration of a characteristic waste or non-toxic constituent of an F003 waste is below regulatory levels, the hazardous waste number assigned initially by acceptable knowledge may be changed. Otherwise, if an F-listed waste constituent is detected, the appropriate hazardous waste number shall be applied.

If the site determines that the source of the F-listed constituent is a spent solvent used in the process or is determined to be the result of mixing a listed waste with a solid waste during waste packaging, or applicable toxicity characteristic or non-toxic F003 wastes are present in excess of regulatory levels, then the site will either: 1) assign the applicable listed hazardous

- waste number to the entire waste stream, or 2) segregate the drums containing detectable
- concentrations of the solvent into a separate waste stream and assign applicable hazardous
- waste numbers. Each site shall document, justify, and consistently delineate waste streams and
- assign hazardous waste numbers based on site-specific permit requirements and other state-
- 5 enforced agreements.
- To determine the mean concentration of solvent VOCs, all headspace-gas data or
- homogeneous waste data for a waste stream or waste stream lot (i.e., the portion of the waste
- stream that is characterized as a unit) will be used, including data qualified with a 'J' flag (i.e.,
- less than the PRQL but greater than the method detection limit [MDL]) or qualified with a 'U' flag
- (i.e., undetected). For data qualified with a 'U' flag, sites shall use one-half the MDL in
- calculating the mean concentration. Because listed wastes are not defined based on
- concentration, sites may not remove hazardous waste numbers assigned using acceptable
- knowledge if hazardous constituents are not detected in the headspace gas or solids/soil
- 14 analysis.

- TRU mixed headspace gases and homogeneous waste matrices may contain one or two
- 16 constituents (e.g., carbon tetrachloride and 1,1,1-trichloroethane) at concentrations that are
- orders of magnitude higher than the other target analytes. In these cases, samples shall be
- diluted to remain within the instrument calibration range for the elevated constituents. Sample
- dilution results in elevated MDLs for the constituents with elevated concentrations. Only the
- concentrations of detected constituents will be used to calculate the mean for the purpose of
- 21 assigning F-listed hazardous waste numbers. Because the presence or absence of F-listed
- solvents can not be assigned based on the artificially high MDLs that are caused by sample
- dilution, data flagged as 'U' and showing an elevated MDL will not be used in calculating the
- 24 mean concentration.

B4-3f Acceptable Knowledge Data Quality Requirements

- The data quality objectives for sampling and analysis techniques are provided in Permit
- 27 Attachment B3. Analytical results will be used to augment the characterization of wastes based
- on acceptable knowledge. To ensure that the acceptable knowledge process is consistently
- applied, the Permittees shall require sites to comply with the data quality requirements for
- acceptable knowledge documentation in Permit Attachment B3.
- Each site shall address quality control by tracking its performance with regard to the use of
- acceptable knowledge by: 1) assessing the frequency of inconsistencies among information,
- and 2) documenting the results of waste discrepancies identified by the generator/storage site
- during waste characterization or the Permittees during waste confirmation using radiography,
- review of radiography audio/video recordings, visual examination, or review of visual
- examination records. In addition, the acceptable knowledge process and waste stream
- documentation shall be evaluated through internal assessments by generator/storage site
- quality assurance organizations.

B4-3g	<u>Audits</u>	of a	Accer	otable	Know	ledge

The Permittees will conduct an initial audit of each site prior to certifying the site for shipment of TRU mixed waste to the WIPP facility. This initial audit will establish an approved baseline that will be reassessed annually by the Permittees. These audits will verify compliance with the requirements specified in the WAP (Permit Attachment B). The audits will be used to verify compliance with the compilation, application, and interpretation requirements of acceptable knowledge information specified in this Permit at all sites, and to evaluate the completeness and defensibility of site-specific acceptable knowledge documentation related to hazardous waste characterization. Permit Attachment B6 gives a description of the overall audit program and a required checklist. Figure B4-2 includes the primary steps associated with the audit process of acceptable knowledge.

Site-specific audit plans will be prepared by the Permittees and provided to NMED, and will identify the scope of the audit, requirements to be assessed, participating personnel, activities to be audited, organizations to be notified, applicable documents, and schedule. Audits will be performed in accordance with written procedures and site-specific checklists that will be developed by the Permittees prior to the audit and provided to NMED. The site-specific audit checklists will include items associated with the compilation and evaluation of the required acceptable knowledge information as specified in the checklist required by Permit Attachment B6.

Audit checklists shall include Table B6-3 in Permit Attachment B6, and will include but not be limited to the following elements for review during the audit:

- Documentation of the process used to compile, evaluate, and record acceptable knowledge is available and implemented;
- Personnel qualifications and training are documented;
- All of the required acceptable knowledge documentation specified in Section B4-2 has been compiled in an auditable record;
- All of the required procedures specified in B4-3 have been developed and implemented, including but not limited to:
 - A procedure exists for assigning hazardous waste numbers to waste streams in accordance with Section B4-3;
 - A procedure exists for resolving discrepancies in acceptable knowledge documentation in accordance with Section B4-3; and
- Results of other audits of the TRU mixed waste characterization programs at the site are available in site records.

Members of the audit team will be knowledgeable regarding the required acceptable knowledge information, RCRA regulations and EPA guidance regarding the use of acceptable knowledge for waste characterization, RCRA hazardous waste characterization, and the WAP requirements

- (Permit Attachment B). Audit team members will be independent of all TRU mixed waste 1 management operations at the site being audited. 2
- Auditors will evaluate acceptable knowledge documentation for at least one waste stream from 3
- the Summary Category Group(s) being audited, and will audit acceptable knowledge traceability 4
- for at least one container from the audited Summary Category Group(s). For these waste 5
- streams, auditors will review all procedures and associated processes developed by the site for 6
- documenting the process of compiling acceptable knowledge documentation; correlating 7
- information to specific waste inventories; assigning hazardous waste numbers; and identifying, 8
- resolving, and documenting discrepancies in acceptable knowledge records. The adequacy of 9
- acceptable knowledge procedures and processes will be assessed and any deficiencies in 10
- procedures documented in the audit report. 11
- Auditors will review the acceptable knowledge documentation for selected waste streams for 12
- logic, completeness, and defensibility. The criteria that will be used by auditors to evaluate the 13
- logic and defensibility of the acceptable knowledge documentation include completeness and 14
- traceability of the information, consistency of application of information, clarity of presentation, 15
- degree of compliance with this Permit Attachment with regard to acceptable knowledge data. 16
- nonconformance procedures, and oversight procedures. Auditors will evaluate compliance with 17
- written site procedures for developing the acceptable knowledge record. A completeness review 18
- will evaluate the availability of all required TRU mixed waste management program information 19
- and TRU mixed waste stream information (Section B4-2). Records will be reviewed for 20
- correlation to specific waste streams and the basis for characterizing hazardous waste. Auditors 21
- will verify that sites include all required information and conservatively include all potential 22
- hazardous waste numbers indicated by the acceptable knowledge records. All deficiencies in 23
- the acceptable knowledge documentation will be included in the audit report. 24
- Auditors will verify and document that sites use administrative controls and follow written 25
 - procedures to characterize hazardous waste for newly-generated and retrievably stored wastes.
- Procedures to document changes in acceptable knowledge documentation and changes to 27
- hazardous waste number assignments to specific waste streams also will be evaluated for 28
- compliance with the WAP (Permit Attachment B). 29
- After the audit is complete, the Permittees will provide the site with preliminary results at a 30
- close-out meeting. The Permittees will prepare a final audit report that includes all observations 31
- and findings identified during the audit. Sites shall respond to all audit findings and identify 32
- corrective actions. Audit results will be included in the final audit report (Permit Attachment B6). 33
- If acceptable knowledge procedures do not exist, the required information is not available, or 34
- corrective actions (i.e., CARs) are identified associated with acceptable knowledge compilation, 35
- 36
- and/or hazardous waste characterization, the Permittees will not manage, store, or dispose TRU
- mixed waste for the subject waste summary category. Management, storage, or disposal of the 37
- subject waste summary category at WIPP will not resume until the Permittees find that all 38
- corrective actions have been implemented and the site complies with all applicable 39
- requirements of the WAP. 40

- The National TRU Program disseminates information regarding TRU mixed waste 41
- characterization requirements and program status through the WIPP Home Page. The 42
- Permittees will use this web page to disseminate information regarding TRU mixed waste 43

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit February 27, 2007

- streams, RCRA compliance, and operational and programmatic issues, methods development,
- and waste characterization information, including the application of acceptable knowledge. The
- 3 Permittees are provided the required waste characterization information prior to management,
- storage, or disposal of that waste at WIPP and also will conduct audits at least annually. The
- 5 Permittees will maintain an operating record for review during regulatory agency audits. NMED
- 6 may also review any information relevant to the scope of the audit during site audits. The
- 7 Permittees will notify NMED regarding any site's failure to implement corrective actions
- associated with hazardous waste characterization as specified in Modules I and II and Permit
- 9 Attachment B3.

FIGURES

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit February 27, 2007

(This page intentionally blank)




Figure B4-2
Acceptable Knowledge Auditing
PERMIT ATTACHMENT B4
Page B4-20 of 20